

OCT 24 2007

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

4

Application Number 10/728,471

Filing Date December 5, 2003

First Named Inventor Dwayne E. Ebersole

Art Unit 2151

Examiner Name Dinh, Khanh Q.

Attorney Docket Number TN337C

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)☐ Fee Transmittal Form☐ Fee Attached☐ Amendment/Reply☐ After Final☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)☐ Extension of Time Request☐ Express Abandonment Request☐ Information Disclosure Statement☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)☐ Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application☐ Reply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53☐ Drawing(s)☐ Licensing-related Papers☐ Petition☐ Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation☐ Change of Correspondence Address☐ Terminal Disclaimer☐ Request for Refund☐ CD, Number of CD(s) _____☐ Landscape Table on CD☐ After Allowance Communication to TC☐ Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences☐ Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)☐ Proprietary Information☐ Status Letter☒ Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
below):

Response to Restriction Requirement

RemarksThe attached document was faxed to the USPTO on October 24, 2007 to fax number
671-273-8300**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name Unisys Corporation - Patent & Technology Law Group

Signature

Printed name Richard J. Gregson

Date October 24, 2007

Reg. No. 41,804

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

fax: 571-273-8300

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with
sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
the date shown below:

Signature

Typed or printed name

Suzanne Gannon

Date October 24, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

OCT 24 2007

S/N 10/728,471

PATENTIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: EBERSOLE

Examiner: K.DINH

Serial No.: 10/728,471

Group Art Unit: 2151

Filed: DECEMBER 5, 2003

Docket No.: TN337C

Customer No.: 27276

Title: OPTIMIZING VIRTUAL INTERFACE ARCHITECTURE (VIA) ON
MULTIPROCESSOR SERVERS AND PHYSICALLY INDEPENDENT
CONSOLIDATED NICS

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, via facsimile as permitted under 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d) to:

Fax telephone number

571 273 8300 on 10/24/07

Name:

Suzanne Cannon

RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This communication is responsive to the Examiner's official paper mailed September 9, 2007. Claims 1-17 are pending in the present application. The Examiner has asserted a Combination/Subcombination restriction requirement for three allegedly different groups of claims. While the Applicants respectfully maintain, for the reasons stated below, that the Election/Restriction requirement is improper, the Applicant formally elects with traverse the Group II set of claims as recited within Claim 6-9. Applicants respectfully request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.